

To: Egeghy, Peter[Egeghy.Peter@epa.gov]
Cc: Stroup, Gene[Stroup.Gene@epa.gov]; Bahadori, Tina[Bahadori.Tina@epa.gov]
From: McQueen, Jacqueline
Sent: Wed 11/4/2015 12:19:49 PM
Subject: RE: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate

Thanks, Peter. We would appreciate your input and any quick feedback from you. I will follow up with you later today.

Jackie

Jacqueline McQueen
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (8104R)
Office of Research and Development
Office of Science Policy
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460
(202) 564-6639

From: Egeghy, Peter
Sent: Tuesday, November 03, 2015 3:53 PM
To: McQueen, Jacqueline <McQueen.Jacqueline@epa.gov>
Cc: Stroup, Gene <Stroup.Gene@epa.gov>; Bahadori, Tina <Bahadori.Tina@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate

Thanks Jackie,

I'll be happy to take a look at this shortly. While I wasn't able to participate in the IARC deliberations, I was an author of some sections of the glyphosate monograph and am very familiar with the exposure considerations.

Thanks,

Peter

Peter P. Egeghy, Ph.D. M.P.H.

National Exposure Research Laboratory
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Mail Drop E205-04
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711
(919) 541-4103

From: McQueen, Jacqueline
Sent: Tuesday, November 03, 2015 1:29 PM
To: Birchfield, Norman <Birchfield.Norman@epa.gov>; Walton, Barb <Walton.Barb@epa.gov>; Martin, Matt <Martin.Matt@epa.gov>; Stroup, Gene <Stroup.Gene@epa.gov>
Cc: Crofton, Kevin <Crofton.Kevin@epa.gov>; Fegley, Robert <Fegley.Robert@epa.gov>; Bahadori, Tina <Bahadori.Tina@epa.gov>; Egeghy, Peter <Egeghy.Peter@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Draft Human Health Risk Assessment for Glyphosate

Good afternoon,

Attached for review are 2 documents: OPP's draft glyphosate risk assessment, and the final report of their Cancer Assessment Review Committee (CARC). Providing ORD input on this assessment is of high importance to Tom Burke, so please let me know if your lab/center will be able to accommodate this request.

Note that OPP's classification of the carcinogenic potential of glyphosate differs from IARC's, and this constitutes a large part of the discussion in the CARC report. The IARC decision, and the availability of additional studies since OPP's last assessment, prompted OPP to re-evaluate the carcinogenic potential of glyphosate.

OPP is interested in our input on the discussion of its cancer classification, and particularly, the discussion of the underlying rationale explaining the differences between its classification and that of IARC. Beyond that, if you note any big issues or showstoppers with the overall assessment, please also send those forward. It would be ideal if you could identify any major concerns this week; if you do, we will need to alert our management so that we can get adequate time to complete the review. OPP plans

to release the assessment in the near future, and take it to the SAP in the spring of 2016. The focus of the SAP will be on the cancer classification.

If possible, let me know by noon this Friday if you identify any issues with the cancer assessment and with the overall document. If you don't think you can meet this deadline, let me know asap and we will raise this up through management to request more time.

Thanks for your quick attention to this. Please call if you want to discuss.

Jackie

Jacqueline McQueen
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (8104R)
Office of Research and Development
Office of Science Policy
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460
(202) 564-6639